EXHIBIT 5

ORIGINAL

PAGES 1 - 113

EXHS. 1 - 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Jonathan Beijar

v. * Civil Action

Stanley Fastening Systems, * No. 04-10233-RCL

L.P.

Deposition of Jonathan Beijar
Tuesday, April 27, 2004
Smith & Duggan LLP
Lincoln North - 3rd Floor
55 Old Bedford Road
Lincoln, Massachusetts 01773

---- J. EDWARD VARALLO, RMR, CRR ---

COURT REPORTER

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC, BOSTON, MASS.

617.728.4404

Jonathan Beijar 6 Α. Yes. 1 2 Would that have been in December of 2000? Q. I really can't remember, but it sounds 3 Α. 4 about right, yes. Prior to becoming employed with Care Free 5 Q. Homes, had you used pneumatic tools? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. In what capacity? 8 I built a couple of additions with my 9 Α, stepfather and have used his nail guns before. 10 11 Q. And where was that? In Rochester. 12 Α. Rochester, Massachusetts, or Rochester, 13 Q. New York? 14 Rochester, Massachusetts. 15 Α. What is your stepfather's name? 16 Q. Timothy Westgate. 17 Α. Where does he live? Q. 18 In Rochester. 19 Α. 20 Do you know the address? Q. 21 It's 7 Randall Road. Α. Is that the location of the two additions 22 Q. 23 that you built? Yes. 24 Α.

7

- Q. Could you describe for me the additions you built?
 - A. The one is, I guess you would say it would be a bigger family room and then an extra bathroom and bedroom.
 - Q. And you used pneumatic nailers on that project?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What kind of pneumatic nailers, do you know?
 - A. I don't recall what kind.
 - Q. Do you recall who manufactured them?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You don't know if they were Hitachi or Stanley or DeWalt?
 - A. No, I don't remember.
- Q. Had you used pneumatic tools on any other occasion prior to becoming employed by Care Free Homes?
- A. Yes. I used them a couple of times with a friend that redid basements.
 - Q. Who was that?
- A. His name was Jamie. I'm not sure of his last name. He was just someone that my wife at the

Jonathan Beijar 9 in the basement job? 1 2 Α. A framing nailer. Did you drive nails -- What size nails did 3 Q. it drive? 4 I believe it's three-inch. 5 Α. And was the tool, the cartridge a stick or 6 Q. 7 a cylinder? Α. I don't understand. 8 How did it blow the nails? Was it in a 9 Q. stick form, straight --10 Α. Yes. 11 12 -- or was it in a cartridge, round? Q. 13 Α. It was straight. 14 Q. Was it a Stanley tool? I can't remember. I don't -- I didn't 15 Α. 16 really pay attention then. Did you use pneumatic nailers on any other 17 Q. projects before going to work for Care Free Homes in 18 December of 2000? 19 I'm sure I have. But to remember, I can't 20 Α. really think of any other times. 21 22

Q. Would it be fair to say that prior to coming to work for Care Free Homes you were fairly comfortable using pneumatic tools?

23

24

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

10 Jonathan Beijar 1 Α. Yes. 2 And you understood how they worked? Q. 3 Α. Yes. And you understood the purpose of a 4 Q: pneumatic nailer? 5 Yes. 6 Α. 7 Would it also be fair to say you Q. understood that if they were not used properly, they 8 9 could create a danger? 10 Α. Correct. So it's important to read the instructions 11 Q. 12 and the safety manual and follow them? 13 Correct. Α. Because if you don't, you could get hurt 14 Q. even if the tool is perfect. Right? 15 16 Α. Correct. And that's something you knew back in 17 December of of 2000 and January of 2001? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did you receive any training on pneumatic Q. 21 tools with Care Free Homes? 22 Α. No. Can you tell me a little bit about your 23 educational background, please? 24

2	А
۷	4

1		

2

- Α. Correct. The nailer is connected to a
- hose which is connected to a compressor, yes.
- 4

3

- And that's where the power comes from to Q. drive the nail, from the compressor?
- 5
- Α. Yes, the air, yes.
- 6

7

And as long as that compressor is Q. connected to the tool, it is possible to drive a

nail under the right set of circumstances. Right?

a nail to be driven by a pneumatic nailer as long as

I don't understand what you mean.

8

9

- Α. I believe so, yes.
- 1.0 Q. And you knew that it would be possible for
- 11
- 12 it was connected to that compressor even if you
- 13 didn't intend to drive a nail if the tool wasn't
- 14
- properly handled Right?
- 15
- 16 17
- Q. Bad question. I don't blame you.
- 18
- You knew, did you not, that the purpose of the pneumatic nailer was to make it easier to drive
- 19

20

Yes. Α.

nails into wood?

- 21
- And to make it faster for the carpenter to Q. drive nails?
- 22
- Α. Yes.
- 23

24

Q. And you knew that the tool operates by

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Jonathan Beijar

25

compressing the tip of the tool against something hard and pulling the trigger.

- Α. Yes.
- And that will cause a ram to cycle and ۵. push the nail into the wood. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
- And you knew also that if you had your Q. finger on the trigger and then touched the trip against something hard, that would also cause the tool to cycle?
 - A. Yes.
- That's something that carpenters Q. particularly like. Right?
 - I've seen people do it before, yes. Α.
 - Q. They like to bump-fire?
- 16 Α. Yes.
 - By keeping their finger on the trigger and Q. bumping down, particularly when you're framing or you're putting up sheetrock or something?
 - Yes, I've seen people do it before, yes.
 - And the tool obviously can't tell the difference between a piece of wood and your body, can it?

Objection. MS. DAVIS:

27 Jonathan Beijar Right? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. Now, one of the instructions, I take it, that you knew was not to pull the tool by 4 5 the airhose. Right? But I never pulled a nailer by the 6 Α. Yes. 7 hose. I understand that. But you knew you were 8 Q. not supposed to pull the tool by the airhose, 9 10 particularly while it was connected to the 11 compressor. Right? 12 Α. Yes, sir. And I never did. And you wouldn't do that, would you? 13 Q. 14 Α. No. Because if you did, it might swing and hit 15 Q. 16 you and hurt you. Right? Well, you're not supposed to pull anything 17 Α. 18 by its cord. Sure. And particularly the reason you 19 Q. don't want to pull a pneumatic tool like the nailer 20 21 by the airhose while it is under compression is that 22 it might go off. Right? 23 Α. I guess. And it might cause you serious injury. 24 Q.

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

28 Jonathan Beijar Right? 1 2 Α. I guess so. And that's one of the reasons why you 3 Q. would never pull a tool by the airhose, would you? 4 I never did. 5 Α. Right. And you wouldn't do it, would you? Q. 6 7 Α. No. 8 Q. And you knew that prior to January of 9 2001, didn't you? Α. Yeah. 10 11 All right. Because to do something like Q. 12 that would be to misuse this tool, wouldn't it? 13 Α. Yes. To use the tool in a way it was never 14 Q. 15 intended to be used. Isn't that true? 16 MS. DAVIS: Objection. Α. 17 Yes. Did you read the operator's manual before 18 Q. 19 you started using the tools that were on the jobsite? 20 21 Α. No. 22 Q. Were you given the opportunity to do so? 23 No. Α. 24 Did you ask to do so? Q.

30

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- All right. So that's why I'm calling it a Q. pneumatic tool or a nailer, because it's not technically a gun. Okay? Just so we understand we're talking about the same tool. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
- You say you understood prior to going to Q. work for Care Free Homes how to use a nailer.
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. And you understood how they operated?
 - Α. Yes.
 - What was your understanding? Q.
 - Α. I know I just knew how to use them.
- If you wanted to drive a nail, what would Q. you do?
- Α. Well, you'd grab the nail gun, you would have your finger on the trigger, you would push down on I believe it's a foot depress, you push it down, then you would pull the trigger.
- Q. When you say the foot depress, are you talking about the trip at the end of the tool?
- I believe that's the name of it. I don't Α. really know.
- So if you had your finger pulled on the Q. trigger and then pushed the tip of the tool against

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Jonathan Beijar

36

Well, we were working on this one side of the house. We were cutting truss boards to finish the roof off so we could put plywood on the top of the roof because the roofers were there that day. We were working on the side of the house. I can't remember most of the people's names that I was working with. I know there was a Wayne and there was somebody else. I just can't remember their One person was cutting boards and I was names. bringing them over to the side and then putting them up on the side of the house.

There was a lot of snow on the ground. There was a big pile outside the window, because we had shoveled the deck off. I had walked around the pile. Someone said "Look out." I thought it was one of the boards that I had put up was coming back down, so I turned around; and when I turned around the opposite way, the nail gun was right in front of And just, that's basically all I can really remember.

- Q. When you saw the nailer right in front of you, did you put your hand up?
 - Α. I tried to push it away.
 - You tried to deflect it? Q.

24

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

37 Jonathan Beijar Yes. 1 Α. 2 Q. Did you hit it? 3 Α. I touched the hose about a foot and a 4 half, two feet from where it connects to the nail 5 gun. Did you hit any other part of the tool? 6 Q. 7 Α. No. 8 Q. How do you know you didn't hit the 9 trigger? 10 Because I know my left hand was down to my Α. 11 side and my right hand had gone up and touched the 12 hose. 13 But as the tool was coming towards you, 14 you put your right hand up to deflect the tool. Is 15 that right? 16 A Yes. As you put your right hand up -- And the 17 tool was moving towards you. Is that right? Do I 18 19 have this right? 20 It was right in front of me. Α. 21 Q. But it was moving towards you. Correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 Q. As it was moving towards you and you put

your right hand up to deflect it and you hit the

hose, the hose was actually behind where the trigger

38

2

was, wasn't it?

3

Α. I don't recall.

4

Do you recognize what we have here? Q.

5

It's a nail gun. Α.

6 7

Do you know if this is the tool that you Q. used on the day --

8

I couldn't tell you if that's the one.

9

I haven't seen it since the day of the accident, so I'm not even sure if they supplied the same nail gun

have here that I will now mark as Exhibit 2 is the

tool that injured you or not. Is that true?

You don't know whether the tool that we

11

10

that hit me in the chest.

Q.

Α.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Does it look the same? Q.

I'm not sure.

- It looks similar. Á.
- Does it look different in any way? Q.
- I know the nail gun that we were using was Α. fairly new. This doesn't look fairly new. It looks like it's been worked on.
- Yeah, this one's been through some wars, hasn't it?

Any other way this looks different?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Jonathan Beijar

39

- Not that I know of. It looks like a Α. regular nailer. I'm not sure if it's the same one or the same size, but it looks about the same.
 - Was the nailer that you saw in front of Q. you a stick nailer like this one, a stick cartridge?
 - I believe so, yes. Α.
 - And they look to be about the same size? Q.
 - Yes, about the same size. Α.
 - Now, as the tool was coming toward you, Q. was it upright like this so that the tip of the tool was on top, or was it down like this so that the tip of the tool was towards the ground?
 - When it fell and it hit me, it was facing Α. -- Could I see it?
 - Q. Please.
 - It was facing like this. This is right Α. around where I saw it and I put my hand up to grab it. It was right around here. It was basically right in my face, but the foot depress was pointing down.
 - The tip of the tool was pointing -- Oh, Q. okay. Could you stand up for just a minute so I can describe this?
 - Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

Jonathan Beijar

40

- You have the tool now, Exhibit 2, in your Q. right hand. Correct?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And you've got the tip of the tool Q. pointing down towards your sternum at about a 30-degree angle from your body. Would that be correct?
 - I'm not exactly sure what angle it was at Α. but it was coming down this way.
 - Q. With the tip pointed towards the ground?
 - Α. Correct.
- And the back of the tool up towards your 12 Q. 13 head?
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - And then the cartridge went from the tip Q. off to the right at an angle of some sort. Correct?
- 17 Α. Correct.
- And the trigger is right in front of your 18 Q. 19 eyes?
 - Correct. Α.
 - Q. And that was where it was when you saw the tool. Right?
 - I'm sorry? I don't know what you mean. Α.
 - You've just described for me the position Q.

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

Α.

41 Jonathan Beijar of the tool when you first saw it on the day of your 1 2 accident. True? Α. Yes. 3 And how far was it away from you? 4 Q. I don't know. It was right about here. 5 Α. I'm not really sure of how close it was. I mean, I 6 7 looked to my left and when I looked back to my right, it was right here, right around eye level. 8 Right around eye level and within twelve 9 Q. inches of you? 10 11 Α. Yes 12 Q. Pointing down? 13 Α. Pointing down. 14 And moving towards you? Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 And you put your right arm up? Q. 17 Α. Yes. What part of the tool did you hit with 18 Q. your right arm? 19 I didn't touch the tool, I touched the 20 Α. 21 airhose. 22 Q. How do you know that you didn't brush the trigger on the way by? 23

I don't see how I could have when my left

hand was down here and as soon as I turned to my right, my right hand went up. I don't see how I could have even came close to the trigger.

- Q. Are you saying that you don't see how you could have touched the trigger?
 - Α. I know I didn't touch the trigger.
- ٥. All right. How do you know you didn't touch the trigger?
- Α. Because I never touched the trigger. I know I didn't.
 - Q. Was anything in your hands at the time?
- Α. No.
- Who was nearby you? 0.
 - The fellow workers. I don't know exactly Α. who was right there because I went into shock as soon as it hit me. I don't remember their names. I don't know -- I know there was at least one person close to me. I don't know if he was watching or....
- I couldn't tell you.
- But you don't know who it was? Q.
- Α. I don't remember their names. No.
- And the tool hit you, I take it? Q.
- 23 Yes. Α.
 - After the tool hit you, did you ever see Q.

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

42

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

45 Jonathan Beijar 1 nailers and.... 2 Which nailer did you use? Q. 3 Α. The framing nailer. 4 And you said you used it two or three Q. 5 times? 6 Yes, a couple of times, yes. Α. Q. Did you have any problems with it? 7 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Did it operate as you expected it to? 10 Α. Yes. As far as you were concerned, was that a 11 Q. 12 reasonably safe tool? 13 Α. Yes: 14 Q. And it had the trigger that you and I have 15 discussed? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Which you could either press it down and Q. 18 pull or pull and press and it would cycle? 19 I believe so. I never really pulled the 20 trigger and ... I can't remember the term that you 21 used, but banging it to fire, I never did that. 22 Bump-fire, all right. But the trigger Q. 23 operated the same way, the tool operated the same 24 way?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

Jonathan Beijar

60

As I looked to my left, I started to look back to my right and that's when the nail gun was

3 right in front of me.

- The nail gun was right in front of you. Q. Was your torso still facing the wall with the window in it?
 - I honestly can't remember. Α.
- You had turned to the right and the tool, if I'm following this correctly, was pointing basically up and down?
- Yes. Α.
- So that the tip of the tool was pointing almost directly down?
- Α. Yes.
- And the black part of the tool was facing Q. the staging?
- Yes. 17 Α.
 - And it was connected to a hose. Correct? Q.
 - Yes. Α.
- 20 And you put your hand up to the right. Q.
- 21 Correct?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 With the trigger almost directly in front Q.
- Correct? 24 of you.

61 Jonathan Beijar 1 Α. About, yes. 2 Q. Almost right in front of your nose. 3 Right? Yes. Α. 4 5 Q. That's where you were and it was twelve 6 inches away from you about? 7 Α. I'm guessing. I'd say. I'm not really 8 sure how far away it was. That's where it was when you described it 9 Q. 10 to me earlier, though. Correct? Α. 11 Yes. And you moved your right hand up to try to 12 Q. 13 deflect the tool? 14 Α. Out. 15 Out to the right? Q. 16 Not really up but more (indicating). Α. 17 Q, Out to the right? 18 Α. Yes, up and out to the right. 19 Didn't touch the tool at all. Is that Q. 20 your testimony? 21 Α. No. 22 So is that correct? Q. Correct. 23 Α. 24 Q. When the tool hit you, did you hear

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

63

1

(Witness complied.) Α. Sure.

2

MR. DUGGAN: And we'll mark that as

3

Exhibit 3.

4

. (Beijar Exhibit 3 marked for

5

identification.)

6

BY MR. DUGGAN:

7

A couple more questions about the scene. Q.

8

How high up was the staging?

9

Α.

10

11

sure.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I couldn't begin to tell you. I'm not

Could you touch it if you put your hand Q. up?

I don't believe so. I can't remember. Α. know it was overhead. But how high, I don't believe that you could've grabbed it, the staging or the planks, by hand.

- Would that be more than ten feet high? Q. Would that be a fair estimate?
 - I'd say so, yes. Α.
- Mr. Beijar, you were kind enough, you were Q. about to draw another diagram and I stopped you, and I don't mean to interrupt you. What was the other diagram you were going to draw?
 - I was just going to show you from looking Α.

73

1 happened.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- As you put your right arm up, is it Q. possible that you touched the trigger?
 - Α. No.
 - Absolutely not? Q.
- Α. No.
 - Q. Have you ever told anybody that you did?
 - Α. No.
 - If you touched the trigger, sir, and the Q. tip of the tool then hit you, that would have caused the tool to cycle, wouldn't it?
- 12 Maybe. But I never touched the trigger. Α.
 - I understand that. But my question to you Q. is, because the tool was connected to a compressor and it was moving toward you -- Right?
 - Α. Yes.
 - -- if you had touched the trigger and then the tip of the tool hit you, that would have caused it to cycle. Right?

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

- I'm sure it would have. Α.
- Alternatively, if the tool touched your Q. chest and you hit the trigger at the same time, that would also cause the tool to cycle. Right?

	Jonathan Beijar 75
1	A. I have seen one, yes.
2	Q. A Stanley operating manual?
3	A. A Stanley one?
4	Q. One of these, Bostitch.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Oh, you have?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Had you seen it before the accident?
9	A. Yes, but not by Care Free.
10	Q. Where did you see it?
11	A. My stepfather had one.
12	Q. And did you read it then?
.13	A. I went through it. I didn't really read
14	the whole thing.
15	Q. Did you understand what was said there?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. On the nailer that you did see on the
18	project there were warnings. This one is sort of
19	beat up, Exhibit 2. But there's a warning here on
20	the stick. Right?
21	A. Mm-hmm.
22	Q. Correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And there's another warning here that's

76

1

now been worn off. Right?

- 2
- Α. I believe so, yes.
- 3 4
- 5
- 6
- knowledge.
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- And there's actually warnings or Q. instructions on the housing too. Right?
- I believe so, yes, to the best of my
- And the one that we do have that's still Q. here says to prevent serious injury from fasteners and flying items, the first thing is read operation manual. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
- And you would agree that's a pretty good Q. practice, to read the manual?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. And also over here on the same warning and instruction where it talks about to prevent serious injury from fasteners and flying items, disconnect air when cleaning a jam, servicing, or tool not in use. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree that that's a pretty good, safe practice?
 - Α. Yes.
 - That is a practice that was not followed Q.

78

2

Even though you were asked to use the Q. nailers?

3

Α. Yes.

4 5

And the very first thing it says is "Before operating this tool, all operators should study the manual." Right?

6 7

Α. Yes

8

Would you agree that that's a good, safe Q. practice?

10

9

Α. Yes.

11

12

Is that something you had read in the Q. manual that your father-in-law showed you?

13

Α. Yes. My stepfather.

14

, I'm sorry, your stepfather, right. Q.

15

talks about the air supply connections and then it

And on the third page of the manual it

16 17

says "Warning: Always disconnect air supply before

18

making adjustments, when servicing the tool, when

19

cleaning a jam, or when the tool is not in use."

20

Α. Yes.

Right?

21 22

And also when moving to a different work Q. Right? area.

23

Yes. Α.

24

FARMER ARSENAULT BROCK LLC 617.728.4404

"As accidental activation may occur,

79

1 2

Q.

Α.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 possibly causing injury." Right? Α. Yes. Is that something you agree with? Q.

Something you knew before your accident? Q.

Α. Yes, somewhat.

Yes.

Q. You knew if you were moving a tool from one area to another, you should disconnect the airhose?

- Α. Well, not really. We never really did.
- Q. You never did?
- Well, I never hardly used the nail gun. Α. I used it a couple of times.
- But you knew that if the tool was to be Q. moved from one area of the jobsite to another, you should disconnect the airhose so you don't accidentally drive a nail. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And that's what it says. Right? Q.
 - Α. Yes:
 - Q. It's pretty straightforward, isn't it?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And over on page 8 it has additional Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Jonathan Beijar

80

"In addition to other warnings contained warnings: in this manual, observe the following for safe operation." Right? There's a list of things.

- Α. Yes.
- And one of them, the fourth bullet, is Q. "Always carry the tool by the handle; never carry the tool by the airhose."
 - Α. Yes.
- Is that something you knew prior to your Q. accident?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
- And what's the purpose of not carrying the Q. tool by the airhose?
- I'm not really sure. Α.
- Do you think it might have something to do Q. with safety?
 - MS. DAVIS: Objection.
 - Possibly. Α.
- And you knew that if you carried the tool Q. by an airhose and it was activated, it might go off? MS DAVIS: Objection.
 - I never carried it by the airhose. Α.
 - Why wouldn't you? Q.
 - I never carried it by the airhose. Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

Jonathan Beijar

81

ght

1	Q.	Right.	Because you	know that	that mi
2	cause vou	iniurv	if you did i	t that wav	. Riaht

- It's just not how we carried it. I never Α. really thought of it, you know, causing an injury.
- Q. But you knew it would be improper to do it, in any event?
 - Α. Yes.
- It also says "Always be aware that misuse Q. and improper handling of this tool can cause injury to yourself or others." True?
 - Α. Yes.
- And you knew that before the accident. Q. Right?
- Α. Yes.
 - Q. It also says "Never leave the tool unattended with the airhose attached." Right?
 - Α. Right.
- 18 Q. And you knew that before the accident.
- 19 Correct?
- 20 Α. Correct.
 - Q. And that was something that Care Free Homes did not follow. Isn't that true?
 - Α. Correct.
 - Q. Those are pretty easy instructions to

89

1

what happened.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- But in fact that's how you had used the Q. tool before, pressing the tip first and then pulling the trigger?
 - And then pulling the trigger, yes.
- Q. And as long as the tool is under compression, hooked up to a compressor, that's going to drive the tool, will it not?
 - Yes. Α.
- And there's nothing wrong with a tool Q. acting in that way, is there?

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

- To my knowledge, that nail gun, I'm not Α. sure. I never used that nail gun that day.
- Q, Right. But my question is, if the tool activates after the tip is depressed and the trigger is pulled, there's nothing wrong with the tool, is there?
- I wouldn't -- I mean, to the best of my knowledge, no.
- Q. That's the way it's supposed to operate. Right?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Where do you think the OSHA investigator Q.

93 Jonathan Beijar Α. Yes. 1 2 Have you ever seen that before? Q. 3 A. -Ńο. This is dated about twelve days after your 4 Q. accident. Correct? February 12. 5 Α. Yes. 6 It says "The use of hoses and/or cords to 7 8 move any power tool is not and never has been 9 allowed and is not proper procedure in moving any 10 power tool." Did I read that properly? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Is that an accurate statement? Q. 13 What you just said? Α. No. First of all, did I read it 14 Q. 15 correctly? 16 Α. Yes. 17 All right. Is that and was that the Q. policy of Care Free Homes? 18 They never really said anything to anyone. 19 Α. Prior to your accident they didn't inform 20 Q. 21 you of this policy? 22 No. It's just common knowledge. Α. Why do you say that? 23 Q. 24 I mean, you don't pick things up by their Α.

•	
	Jonathan Beijar 94
	Sonachan Borgan
1	cords.
2	Q. Why not?
3	A. Because you can hurt yourself or someone
4.	else or you can break the tool.
5	Q. So even though you were not instructed in
6	that by Care Free Homes, you knew that prior to your
7	accident?
8 :	A. Correct.
9	MR. DUGGAN: Off the record.
10	(Discussion off the record.)
11	BY MR. DUGGAN:
12	Q. Mr. Beijar, can you take me through a
13	chronology of your treatment? Where did you go
14	first?
15	A. From the worksite I went to Cape Cod, I
1.6	think it was Cape Cod Medical Center.
17.	Q. Is that in Hyannis?
18	A. I believe so. After that, as soon as I
19	got there they gave me pain meds and they put me
20	out. And the only thing I know of is that I got
21	flown to Boston, I think at I think it was Boston
22	Medical Center.
23	Q. How long were you in the hospital?
24	A. A couple days. I'm not exactly sure how

109

1		
1		

- Q. As far as you're concerned anyway.
- 2
- As far as I'm concerned, no. Α.

3 4

And if the accident happened as described Q. in that OSHA report, there'd be nothing wrong with

5

this tool either. Isn't that true?

6

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

7

Α. I believe so. That report's not right.

8

Q. I understand. But if that report was accurate, there would be nothing wrong with this

10

9

tool that caused the injury. Isn't that true?

11

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

12

I don't know about if there was anything Α. wrong with the nail gun, but....

13

14 Q. My question, though, is a little different

15

than that. My question was: If an accident happened, maybe not yours, somebody else's accident

16 17

happened as described in Exhibit Number 10, the

18

narrative portion, that would not be the fault of

19

Objection. MS. DAVIS:

20

I believe not. I'm not sure. Α.

21 22

Well, even as you testified before, that Q. would be a plain misuse of the tool, wouldn't it?

23 24

Oh, yes. Α.

the tool, would it?

WITNESS: Jonathan Beijar

DATE:

April 27, 2004

CASE:

Jonathan Beijar v. Stanley Fastening

Systems, L.P.

SIGNATURE INFORMATION FOR COUNSEL

The original signature page/errata sheet has been sent to Jennifer L. Davis, Esq., to obtain signature from the witness. When signed, please send original to Christopher A. Duggan, Esq., who will supply a copy of the signed errata sheet to other counsel present.

WITNESS INSTRUCTIONS

After reading the transcript of your deposition, please note any change or correction and the reason for it on the errata sheet. Do not make any notations on the transcript itself. Use additional sheets if necessary. Sign and date the errata sheet and return it, along with the transcript, to your counsel.

EXHIBIT 6

WITNESS> Volume VOLUME> - DATETEXT>

Page

Exhibits: 1 - 11 Volume 1, Pages 1 - 81

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Civil Action No. 04-10233-RCL

JONATHAN BEIJAR

Plaintiff

VS.

STANLEY FASTENING SYSTEMS, L.P.
Defendant

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF IGOR PAUL
Tuesday, September 6, 2005, 10:35 a.m.
Smith & Duggan LLP
Lincoln North
55 Old Bedford Road
Lincoln, Massachusetts

----- Reporter: David A. Arsenault, RPR -----darsenault@fabreporters.com www.fabreporters.com
Farmer Arsenault Brock LLC
50 Congress Street, Suite 415
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
617.728.4404 fax 617.728.4403

WITNESS>

Volume VOLUME> - DATETEXT> Page 12 Page 10 1 because they were having some problems with their the tool, as you examined it, did not comply? 2 trigger mechanism, particularly their sequential 2 3 trigger mechanism. So I essentially solved their 3 Q. Do you have any timesheets for the work problem with respect to that. 4 that you put in in this case? 5 I think that's really the only hands-on 5 A. I don't think I have actual timesheets. I 6 design experience that I have in terms of pneumatic have itemized bills, copies of itemized bills. 6 7 O. Do we have them here with us? nailers or pneumatic drive-type tools. I've 8 consulted on various other pneumatic tools, but one 8 A. Yes, they are in the active folder. 9 that essentially has a hammer blow or piston 9 Q. I didn't have a chance to look through it. 10 pneumatic drive with the associated controls, that's If you could pull them out for me. 10 11 been limited to that. 11 A. (Witness complies.) I've had about a dozen cases over the 12 12 Q. You've shown me two documents from your 13 years which were on the legal side, essentially, as active folder. 13 14 a consultant involving accidents with pneumatic 14 A. Yes. 15 nailers; and also some, in addition to that, 15 Q. They are both letters to Mr. Lang, one 16 involving electric staplers and nailers. dated March 24 of 2005 and the other August 8, 200: 16 17 Q. But you never designed ground up a 17 A. That's correct. 18 pneumatic nailer. Is that true? 18 Q. Do these fairly and accurately summarize 19 A. Certainly not. all of the work that you have done to date? 19 20 Q. Have you ever worked as an employee of 20 A. Except for preparing for this deposition 21 pneumatic nailers? 21 and organizing the file, yes. 22 A. No, other than as a consultant. 22 Q. All the work that you did in evaluating the 23 Q. But I said as an employee. 23 tool and coming to your opinions and conclusions ar 24 A. No. 24 accurately summarized on these two invoices, true? Page 11 1 Q. What standards, if any, were applicable to 1 A. Yes. Except that I think I read 2 the pneumatic nailer that is involved in the case 2 Mr. Edwards' deposition after that bill, after the 3 that we have here today? 3 last bill. So I think there's another hour and a 4 A. Well, there's an ANSI standard, which is half of reviewing materials after that last. 4 5 also the ISANTA standard or something like that. 5 MR. DUGGAN: I will mark these two as Frankly, I don't remember the number. I think it is Exhibits 2 A and B. 7

in my references. That's the only one that I really know of and/or have looked at.

Q. Did you review applicable ANSI or ISANTA standards in coming to evaluate the tool which has come to you in this matter?

A. I didn't specifically review them because I was familiar with what the standards were relative to the control and triggering mechanisms and use of the tools. So I did actually look at the latest version but found nothing new in it. I didn't really use it in coming to my conclusions.

Q. Would I be correct, and tell me if I'm not, that the tool that you examined at the behest of Mr. Lang and Ms. Davis for Mr. Beijar complies with all the applicable ANSI standards?

A. Yes, I do agree.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Are you aware of any standard, regulation, law or anything of the sort, industry practice, that (Marked, Exhibits 2 A and B, invoices.)

Q. Dr. Paul, just a couple of questions about 8 9 the bills. I notice the first entry you have is 10 through October '03?

A. Yes.

O. And that's for one hour?

13 A. Yes.

11

12

21

23

Q. Do I understand correctly that up until 14 15 October of '03 you had done just one hour worth of review on this matter; is that true? 16

A. That's correct.

17 Q. Had you come to any opinions or conclusions 18 19 related to the design of this tool before or up 20 through October of '03?

A. Not as far as -- the answer is no.

22 Q. Okay.

A. I think at that stage I didn't even know what tool it was.

Page 16 Page 14 Q. Can you tell me what work you did between Q. At the same time? 2 November 1st of 2003 and January 31st of 2004, if 2 A. Yes. They don't have to happen at the same time, but they have to overlap. 3 3 any? Q. All right. Did you try to make this tool 4 A. January 2004. drive a nail without the trigger being depressed? Q. In other words, the three months between 5 5 6 November 1st, this date here (indicating), and 7 Q. And you were unable to do that? January 31 of 2004. 8 A. I'm not sure I did any. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. 9 Q. How did you try to do that? 10 A. I don't think I did any. 10 A. Well, essentially three ways. One way I was trying -- I changed the pressure. The inlet 11 Q. So would it be fair to say that as of 11 pressure has an effect on the operation of both the 12 January 31st, 2004 you still did not have an opinion 12 as to whether or not this tool was reasonably safe, primary valve and the -- the trigger valve and the 13 13 hammer valve. So I went from 40 psi, shown in one properly designed or otherwise, true? 14 14 of those photographs, to 120 psi in steps of 20. I A. Okay, let me just look at what 15 15 essentially would depress the tip. And at one correspondence I had at that stage. 16 16 point, after I tested, did the same tests on a Q. Sure. 17 17 rental -- I had also rented an identical gun, A. As of January 1, 2004, no. 18 18 automatic nailer. After I had seen the scenario 19 O. January 31, 2004. 19 from where the tool was falling and where it had A. 31, 2004, not that I can recall. 20 20 hit, I essentially said that a fall onto the tip O. You hadn't come to any opinion as to the 21 21 from a 2-foot height onto a 2-by-10 on a concrete 22 22 design sufficiency of this tool as of that date, surface would provide a tip impact which would be 23 23 much more severe than you could possibly get from 24 A. That's right, except I did, even before 24 Page 15 the impacting at the chest. So that's what I did. that, indicate to Mr. Lang that I don't think the 1 2 I raised the tool 2 feet, dropped it on its tip. I 2 tool should have fired without touching the trigger, 3 3 any tool, any pneumatic nailer. So I had not never got a misfire. I mentioned three ways. The third way reviewed this particular tool. I think I still 5 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

didn't know what tool it was. This was based on the OSHA report and a letter by Mr. Lang to me.

Q. And that's a letter that's in your file.

A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Your active file marked Exhibit 2?

A. Yes. So, you know, essentially at that stage, that's where I was.

Q. Now, you've tested this tool, you've had it in your possession and actually brought it with you today?

A. Yes.

Q. And it is true, is it not, that this tool will not drive a nail without both the tip of the tool being depressed and the trigger being pulled?

A. Under the conditions of this accident, yes.

Q. So both of those things have to happen for this tool to drive a nail, the tip has to be depressed and the tool -- and the trigger has to be pulled, true?

A. Yes, and the two have to overlap.

is that I have the drawings of the pneumatic valves, the triggering mechanisms in my file. Essentially, impact firing of the tool would be due to components of the valves accelerating when the tool hits a surface. Those components are essentially little pistons that control the big piston. And when you hit it on the tip, the impact accelerates the piston in one direction. In the design that is on the Bostitch nailer, pneumatic nailer, if you hit it on its tip, the direction of acceleration on the control components is opposite to that that will

cause essentially a misfire. Okay? The way this 16 tool is designed, if the impact occurs on the back 17

of the tool, that's what can cause an impact-related 18 19 misfire; if you drop it on the back of the tool, you

20 could possibly get a misfire without depressing the

21 trigger. And in fact, Stanley has a test procedure 22 for that, which they didn't do on this tool but they

23 do on other tools. So that's another reason why I 24 confirmed with the testing, but also just from basic

Volume VOLUME> - DATETEX1>				
Page 18	Page 20			
logic, physical principles, it wouldn't misfire hitting on the tip. Q. Okay. And that aspect of this design, by the way, is entirely appropriate, is it not? A. Sure. Q. Do you have any notes, Doctor, of the testing that you did in your file? A. I do not; only the photographs. Q. You didn't take any notes or measurements or things like that? A. No. If I had had a misfire, I would have. Essentially, I found that it operated the way it was supposed to operate, that it was designed to operate. That was my conclusion. Q. And when did you do your testing? A. Well, it was between April 5 and April 10. And I have a bill from the rental agency, but apparently I don't have it on here. Q. Okay. A. I'd have to look it up. But it was in that time period and it was over a period of two days. Q. Am I correct in my mathematics that except for the one hour you had or one and a half hours you had reading the Edwards deposition, you had done	1 Q. Have you ever worked with pneumatic nailers 2 building homes, woodworking or anything like that? 3 A. Yes, only on my two homes that I have 4 helped build. 5 Q. Which homes were they? 6 A. Well, one was in Andover, Massachusetts. I 7 forget the address, as I sit here. The one is the 8 one I live in now, five years ago. 9 Q. You actually did some of the construction 10 yourself? 11 A. Yes, quite a bit. 12 Q. What kind of pneumatic tools, pneumatic 13 nailers did you use? 14 A. Actually, the contractor had Hitachi tools. 15 I think he may have also had a Stanley tool. But at 16 the time I wasn't paying attention. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. So, I'm not sure. 19 Q. Who is the contractor up in New Hampshire, 20 do you know? 21 A. Yes. That's horrible, because I still talk 22 to him. I'll have to get it to you. 23 Q. Would you do that? 24 A. Yes.			
Page 19 1 seven hours' worth of work on this case, right? 2 A. Yes, it looks that way. 3 Q. How long did your testing take? 4 A. I would say a total of less than an hour, 5 but in between it wasn't I think in total it was 6 probably setting up and getting the rental tool and 7 so on, but the actually testing was less than an 8 hour. 9 Q. And you've done no other testing?	Page 2/1 Q. The same contractor that built the house in Andover? A. No. Q. You wouldn't remember that one, would you? A. No. But I have all the building records. I should I can get you that also. Q. Do you remember if they used Stanley pneumatic tools in that house? A. I have no idea.			
10 A. No. 11 Q. You said that you rented another, identical 12 tool? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. What kind of tool was that? 15 A. Well, it was the same numbered tool, N79WW. 16 Q. Where did you rent that from? 17 A. From Ace Hardware store. 18 Q. Where? 19 A. In New London, New Hampshire. And I rented 20 a compressor from them. 21 Q. You don't have a compressor in your home or 22 workshop? 23 A. I have a compressor for blowing up tubes 24 and things, but not 120 psi.	Q. So the Hitachis that you remember were on the New Hampshire home? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember the models? A. No. Q. You actually used them yourself? A. Yes. Q. What did you do? A. I did both framing and roofing. Q. And when you were doing the roofing, did you use it in a contact mode or sequential trip mode? A. Contact mode. Q. When you did the framing, what mode did yo have it in?			

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 26

A. That was Mr. Beijar's description, that he 1 didn't hit the trigger. And I think that was part 2 3 of the description in the newspaper. And also in

Mr. Lang's letter, he said he talked to the plaintiff, and he contradicted some of the

statements in the OSHA report, which was the only other thing I had at that time.

Q. In other words, Mr. Beijar contradicted the statements in the OSHA report.

A. Yes, in terms of having pulled down the tool by the hose. My understanding is that in the OSHA report, the home builders, and I forget their name, they were cited for allowing tools to be raised and lowered by the hose. That was one of the citations they had. So even though OSHA didn't say as far as I can recall, that it was pulled down by the hose, they cited them for this practice.

Q. Are you aware of any witnesses to this accident who claim that Mr. Beijar pulled the tool down by the hose?

A. Sure. Well, my initial look into the situation was if this particular tool either had a problem with the double-trigger safety or not. And I tested that. It did not seem to.

A. Not as I sit here, but I could probably find out.

O. If you would do that, I would appreciate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Have you now told me, Doctor, all of your experience with regard to the design or the operation of pneumatic nailers?

9 A. Yes, specific to pneumatic nailers, yes. Except, of course, that my education and teaching 10 involves design of pneumatic control systems, which 11 this is all about. With that, yes. 12

Q. Dr. Paul, did you attempt to reconstruct this accident?

A. To some degree, yes.

16 Q. Is it necessary to understand how the accident happened before you can evaluate the design 17 18 sufficiency of this tool, at least in determining 19 whether there was a problem with the tool that led 20 to the accident?

21 A. Not really. It depends what aspect of the tool. You know, for example, if the trigger had 22 23 been taped down, I didn't really have to know the 24 details of the accident scenario to talk about that.

Page 27

In this case --

Q. Can I cut you off here?

A. Yes.

Q. Is there any evidence from any source whatsoever that the trigger in this case had been tied down or taped down?

A. No.

Q. Indeed, Mr. Beijar has said it was not tied down; is that correct?

A. Well, I think he didn't think it was tied down, but he didn't know. He didn't work with this tool. He was a laborer.

Q. You would agree with me that that would be a misuse of the tool, to tie down the trigger?

A. I would call it a foreseeable misuse, yes.

Q. I cut you off. I didn't mean to cut you off.

A. Well, you know, depending on where I was in looking at this scenario and this evaluation, the scenario obviously enters the question of how did it happen. My initial, I guess, descriptions and the things I read was that it tripped, that it fired a nail without the trigger being depressed.

Q. That was Mr. Beijar's claim?

Q. In other words, it can't fire without the trigger being depressed.

A. That was my conclusion.

So then in either scenario, whether he pulled it or didn't pull it, it couldn't fire without the trigger being depressed. So at that stage, I have to say -- you know, he was the closest to the gun when it hit him. So he has a description of how it happened.

There is another description by, you know, two other people, three other people, although only one of them was deposed, that indicate that he pulled it with his left hand and caught it with his right hand. So then I did have to get into some reconstruction, A, to see, you know, whether either scenario would allow the trigger to be depressed accidentally while he is either catching or being hit by the gun. So I essentially looked at the two scenarios.

Q. Okay. When you say two scenarios, are you talking about one scenario being the three evewitnesses and the other being that of Mr. Beijar?

A. The tool hitting him where it hit him, according to the x-rays -- the x-rays are really the

Page 28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Page 30 only, you know, physical evidence that's here. So 1 2 2 beyond that, I have to look at physical principles and how the scenario could have developed. 3 4 Q. But when you said two scenarios --A. Yes. 5 Q. -- the two scenarios you are talking about 6 7 are, one is Mr. Beijar's scenario, and the other scenario is the scenario given by the three 8 9

9 eyewitnesses. Is that what you meant by the two 10 scenarios? 11

A. Well, as a starting point, yes.

Q. Okay.

A. The starting point being that one is that he doesn't consciously pull on anything. Somebody yells watch out, or he turns around and he sees this thing coming towards him.

Q. That's Mr. Beijar's scenario?

A. That's Mr. Beijar's scenario.

Q. The three other eyewitnesses have a

20 different view?

21 A. Well, they all seem to have exactly the 22 same view, that he pulls the hose with his left

23

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. To get the tool --

accident happened.

A. Yes.

O. Then we'll talk about your opinions on the design of the tool.

A. Okav.

Q. Before I do that, am I essentially correct that all three of the eyewitnesses, Mr. Pinard, Mr. Santos in his typed statement, signed, and Mr. Cordeiro in his handwritten statement, signed --

A. Cordeiro.

Q. -- say that Mr. Beijar pulled the tool down with his left hand and caught it in his right hand as it was coming towards him and depressed the trigger causing the tool to activate? That's essentially what they all say; isn't that true?

A. Yes.

O. So now we have the two scenarios from the 17 18 eyewitnesses in front of you, Mr. Beijar's on the 19 one hand and the eyewitness scenarios on the other?

20 A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. The general scenarios, yes. 22

Q. Are there any other eyewitness scenarios, 23 24

other than those two, as to - as far as you know --

Page 31

A. -- off of the scaffolding. Well, the only person who was questioned on this was Mr. Pinard. His indication is that he saw from 20 feet away the actual trigger on the gun, and even though the plaintiff was facing away from him. And I have problems with that in several respects. Because 20 feet is further than that wall. If you put that gun 20 feet from me, there's no way you are going to see the trigger when the thing is falling during a half-second period before it is hit by his body. So I think there's a lot of intended or unintended reconstruction that's part of that description by Mr. Pinard.

Mr. Santos never gets examined on the details. And the third gentleman, I only saw a handwritten statement. And all these three statements are very similar and questionable in the same, similar circumstance.

But, as it turns out, my opinion on the defect is not really dependent on the exact accident scenario.

Q. Let me stop you there for a minute.

A. Yes.

Q. I want to get to your opinion on how the

as to how this accident happened?

A. No, not that I know of.

Q. Did you come to an opinion as to how the accident happened as part of trying to reconstruct this accident?

A. I have come to an opinion as to my opinion how the accident most likely happened.

O. I'm going to ask you to give that opinion. I know that you mentioned that you wanted a break after an hour. I think this is probably a good

I can keep going.

Q. Okay. Great. Can you illustrate for me, can you show me by using the tool -- and I brought a hose here for you to use -- how you think this accident happened.

A. Well, I think this accident happened differently from either what Mr. Beijar says or what the two eyewitnesses say. The reasons for that are essentially the laws of physics and where the nail entered his chest.

22 Q. Can you show me how you think the accident 23 happened?

A. Okay, sure. Essentially the tool --

Page 33

Q. Okay.
A. And as the tool falls off the staging bracket, it actually swings on the hose towards him like this (indicating). He's trying to ward it off

23

WITNESS>

	Volume VOLUME> - DATETEXT>				
	Page 34		Page 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	marked as Beijar Exhibit Number 2? A. Yes. Q. You understand that is the tool that was being used at the time of his accident? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. And you understand that it was essentially a new tool at that time, in February of	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with his right hand by grabbing the hose. Because of the momentum of the tool and the tool may hav actually been in this position as he grabs the hose the tool keeps going. He tries to essentially ward it off with his hand, with his arm and elbow. He contacts the trigger, and the tool continues into his chest, depresses and fires. That's how I think it happened. Q. Okay. Can you tell me, is there anywhere from any of the sources that anybody says, including Mr. Beijar, that he hit the trigger with his elbow? A. No. Q. In fact, they all deny that, don't they? MS. DAVIS: Objection. Q. Mr. Beijar denies that he hit it at all? A. Yes. Q. And the witnesses A. I didn't know who you met by "they all." Q. And the eyewitnesses, Mr. Pinard, Mr. Cordeiro and Mr. Santos say that he pulled the trigger with his thumb, his hand, right? A. I don't think they all say that. At least Mr. Pinard says that he, he was catching the way I understand Mr. Pinard's and the other		
	Page 35		Page 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, it is going to be awfully awkward. Q. I can help you hold it, if you like, or Ms. Davis, if you like. A. Also, we don't have a staging. Q. All right. A. I will tell you how I think it came at him and why. Actually, I think that would happen regardless of whether he pulled on the hose or not. The nail entered his sternum here. Q. In the configuration you're showing right now? A. Essentially. Q. In other words, from his right with an aspect from his right to his left? A. Yes. Q. Upside down? A. Yes. Q. The tool is upside down? A. Yes. Because the hose is looped over the staging bracket. Q. Okay. A. And as the tool falls off the staging bracket, it actually swings on the hose towards him	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	eyewitnesses' description is that he pulls the gun, pulls it by the hose incidentally, this staging is about ceiling height here. He can't quite reach the gun. So according to the two to the one eyewitness who was actually examined on it under oath, he pulls it down with his left hand and pulls it toward him and catches it like a football, he says. He says that he sees him catch it like that (indicating), as it is coming down, and he hits the trigger with his thumb and then pulls the thing in. He had to actually pull it down like that (indicating) and that's when it fired. I don't think that's consistent with physical principles. Q. Is there any witness who testifies whether the trigger was depressed before or after the tip of the tool hit him on the chest? A. Well, I think actually both Mr. Pinard and Mr. Edwards, who I think it was Wayne Edwards who says based on what he had heard he was reconstructing it, and he says that probably the trigger was depressed before it had to be depressed before it hit his chest. O. Could you show me where in Mr. Pinard's		

23 24 Q. Could you show me where in Mr. Pinard's

deposition he says that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 38

A. First let me look at Mr. Edwards:

Q. We can agree that Mr. Edwards was not present at the scene; is that true?

A. Right.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In his statement he says: As the gun fell down, John grabbed the gun and apparently hit the trigger with his right hand. The nose of the gun hit his chest, depressed, and shot the nail into the center of his chest.

O. Right.

A. So that sequentially is essentially, he hits the trigger and the gun continues in.

I think also in his deposition he says, again this is paraphrased: Reached with left hand -- this is about Page 10-plus. Reached with left hand, pulled hose, caught gun with right hand on handle pointing towards him. Pulled into chest while thumb on trigger. So that, again, says to me that the trigger is already pulled and he pulls the gun into his chest. So I also tried to do that with the gun. He catches it (indicating), and pulls it into his chest.

(Discussion off the record.)

A. And then pulls it into his chest. It is

while thumb was on -- while thumb on trigger. He's actually asked: Did you see all this from 20 feet? 2 3 And he says yeah. Eventually at 41 he says: Well, 4 the plaintiff's back was towards me, but I saw all that before. 5

So if you physically are towards the person in back and you catch this gun, if he saw him catch the gun with his right hand on the handle and depress the trigger, obviously the tip was not yet

Q. Could you hook up the hose here? I'm still trying to figure out how this could have happened from your description.

A. Let me do it on the floor.

Q. Do you need some help?

17 Q. Now, you said, I think, that the hose was looped around a bracket? .18 19

A. Yes.

20 Q. On what do you base that?

21 A. Only on physical principles. There's no other way that that could swing toward him. 22

23 Q. Unless he pulls it down and brought it into his chest, right? 24

Page 39

essentially, pull trigger and then pulling into his chest.

Now, I also don't --

- Q. Before. I'm looking at your copy of Mr. Pinard's deposition. You actually kindly enough folded down the page on Page 38 to 41.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Don't you remember me asking Mr. Pinard the question:

"QUESTION: Could you tell whether or not his thumb hit the trigger before it hit his chest?" On Page 41, his answer was:

"ANSWER: No, I couldn't."

- A. Right, because his back was towards him.
- Q. "QUESTION: He could have grabbed it on the outside of the tool. His thumb hit the trigger. "ANSWER: Yes."

And then I asked him whether he could tell whether he depressed the trigger before or after the tip of the tool hit his chest, and Mr. Pinard said he couldn't; isn't that right?

A. I think yes. But if you go back to Page 10 where he initially describes it, he said he caught gun with right hand on handle pointing towards him A. It still could not swing towards him.

Q. Right. But if he pulled it down with his left hand and pulled it in with his right, that would be another way the tool could get to his chest: is that true?

A. Except, it is against physical principles. If he pulled on the hose, then the gun would come off the scaffolding not in the position that hit his chest.

O. Unless he pulled it into him. A. Even if he pulled it into him. Because if it is lying in any orientation on the plank and you pull on the hose, the hose is going to be what's towards you, not the tip of the gun. So if you pull it down, there's no other way the gun can come off the plank except hose first, because you are pulling on the hose. So if it comes at you this way, if he catches it this way, then he would have to turn it around and bring it in like this. I just don't think that can happen. Eyewitness or not, I mean, he's 20 feet away facing the guy's back. Physically, it cannot happen that way.

Q. Let me ask you a question, Doctor. Do you think that it is a reasonable thing to do to pull

Page 40

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 42

leaned the plank against what he thought was the

the tool by the hose? 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. No, I don't think so.

Q. You think Mr. Beijar was unreasonable, in your view, by pulling the tool by the hose?

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

A. Sure, but he certainly wasn't expecting to

Q. Do you think that's a misuse of the tool, to pull it by the hose?

A. I would call it a misuse, except that I know that it is done all the time. And in fact, the hose is used on most construction sites to lower the tool and to take it up, actually. Because the alternative is that you have to walk the tool up a ladder with the hose behind it.

O. Is there any evidence that there was a ladder available for Mr. Beijar if he wanted to use

A. I didn't see one in the photos, but the photos were taken a day later. I think there was some testimony that there was a ladder. I'm sure there was a ladder leaning against something.

Q. Do you think that would have been an easier and better way for Mr. Beijar to get the tool if he

house -- I think he actually leaned it against the 2

house and the plank or just the plank -- and as per 3

the eyewitnesses, the gun was teetottering on the 4 5 edge of the plank. And that's according to

Mr. Pinard, why he told him to put the tool back and said that he couldn't reach it. But that's not the

plaintiff's recollection.

The plaintiff's recollection is that he turns around and the tool is coming towards him. Now, I think that may very well have happened if his foot got entangled with the hose. But it wouldn't have pulled the tool down.

Q. What hose?

A. This hose, the air hose. If you look in the pictures the next day, they have a tool lying up there. It is to the right of the bracket. Now, I don't know if they were trying to put the tool, you know, in some position there like it was at the time of the accident. But it is certainly consistent with all the exhibits that they mark at their deposition, that the tool was at the end of the

plank. So it was probably beyond the bracket. And you see, you know, the hose coming

Page 43

needed to get it down?

A. I think in retrospect it would be, but it certainly wasn't a very foreseeable event.

Q. Have you now told me all of the ways and described the way you think this accident happened?

A. Well, I think I've described to you what in my opinion was the most probable way that the accident happened by showing you eventually how the tool hits him.

Q. Let me stop you there and ask you a question about that.

A. Okay.

O. So that I understand, it is your view that Mr. Beijar took his right hand, pulled the tool off of the scaffold over his head. The hose was looped around a bracket. And as he was pulling it down, it swung towards him and hit his right elbow as his right elbow bent at about a 90-degree angle. Is that basically what happened?

MS. DAVIS: Objection.

A. No.

Q. How is that wrong? How am I wrong?

A. I don't think he had -- in my opinion, I believe him, that the gun came off either when he

down and Mr. Pinard said the hose was in front of 1 2 the plank. So if that tool falls off the plank, it 3 is going to go down like this, gravity will just pull it straight down. But because of the hose up 4 5 there, it starts swinging towards him. 6

Now, where it hits his chest -- or where he was pulling it as a football, the gun has already fallen about 4 feet, it had fallen at least 4 feet. So it had quite some energy. Now, even if he reached up, according to Mr. Pinard, and caught the gun up there, which was still about 1 1/2 feet after it dropped from the plank, and then brought it down and pulled it in, he already had his finger on the trigger.

So either scenario, I don't think that Mr. Pinard's scenario could have happened based on physical evidence and physical principles. He could have pulled it down, but then that's not how the gun swung into his chest. He could have tried pulling on it and the thing fell off and swung into him. He could have just touched the, a hose while he was going by. The thing was partially off the plank. Or the plank could have started its drop.

Now, for any object to drop about 4 feet

Page 45

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

15

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Page 46

-- okay? -- that chart is in there too, it takes close to a second. So the time sequence was

certainly there, that the plaintiff turns around,

sees the thing coming towards him. Although he sees 5 it wrong as well. It couldn't have come at him this

way, the way the witnesses -- or one witness says.

Q. The way Mr. --

A. Mr. Picard (sic). It couldn't have come 8 9 this way if he pulled on the hose.

Q. I'm getting lost here. Let me try again.

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

7

10

11

16

17

12 Q. My first question was, in your

reconstruction, do you have a view whether or not 13

Mr. Beijar pulled on the hose? 14 15

A. I don't think he purposely pulled on the hose near the plank. He may have stepped on the hose on the ground and disturbed the hose.

18 Q. Is there any evidence from Mr. Beijar,

19 Mr. Pinard, from any of the witnesses, Mr. Cordeiro,

20 Mr. Santos, that Mr. Beijar stepped on the hose,

21 anywhere?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Is there any evidence that he pulled on the

24 hose?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Do you agree with that?

A. Yes, it is certainly consistent with my scenario. That scenario is also consistent with his hand being up.

Q. In your view of this accident, can you show me where Mr. Beijar's right hand was just before this accident?

8 A. When you say just before the accident, before he contacts the tool or --9

A. Well, I think it was generally at his right side.

13 Q. Did he touch any part of the hose before the accident happened, in your view? 14

A. In my view, yes.

Q. Which part of the hose did he touch? 16

A. Right above the tool.

Q. How much above the tool?

A. In looking at the dimensional data, his arm 19 to the elbow is about two inches shorter than mine. 20

21 So somewhere here.

22 Q. So you think that his hand was about 6

23 inches above the coupling? 24

A. Could have been 6 inches, could have been a

Page 47

A. Well, there are statements that he pulled on the hose.

Q. Three eyewitnesses have said that?

A. Yes. Three eyewitnesses say almost the identical thing.

O. And Mr. Beijar denies that he pulled on the hose, right?

A. Yes.

O. He denies that he touched the tool at all before it went off, does he not?

A. That was his perception, yes. That's what

Q. So I'm going back now to the hose. Do you have any factual basis at all, from any of the witnesses, anything, to support your view that Mr. Beijar did not pull on the hose, other than his own statement?

A. And physical principles, the laws of physics and Newton.

Q. If he pulled on the hose -- by the way, do you know where his left hand was at the time of the accident?

A. According to his perception, it was at his left side.

little more. The thing swings in.

Q. 6 inches to 8 inches above the coupling?

A. I would actually start at the coupling to maybe 8 inches above, yes.

Q. And it's your view --

A. And that depends on whether it was coming straight on or at an angle and what kind of an angle. Because I can even see that it is coming at him almost perpendicular to his body. And then who he hits it, that turns it around and puts it into the chest.

O. Could you demonstrate that for me? I'm not quite sure that I understand it.

A. Sure. It can be swinging like this towards him, again from the thing. So instead of with the point directly at him, it is at quite an angle like this. So as he tries to stop the whole thing, he reaches up, he tries to grab the hose --

Q. With his right hand?

20 A. With his right hand. 21

The thing is swinging torwards him. It continues into his arm because there's nothing else that stops it. The only thing that stops it, tries to stop it is the elbow.

Page 48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 50

wind up down here like this. It just -- in my

Q. Now, at this point in your reconstruction you said his elbow was bent about 90 degrees, right? A. Well, he starts it straight out. But when

it hits the trigger, it is about 90 degrees. Or it could be less. It could be like this (indicating). Because it winds up hitting him at an angle like this. So, he tries to stop it but the momentum is carrying it into his chest, right here.

Q. In your view, as he has his right hand at the coupling or just above the coupling?

A. At the coupling or just above the coupling.

Q. When he originally has his hand out, his arm is straight and then he brings it in to bend his elbow to 90 degrees?

A. He has to start bending his elbow, yes.

O. Because if he leaves his elbow out straight, the tool never reaches his body, right?

A. No. That's not true. Depending on where he grabs it. If he grabs it up here, keeps it straight, it keeps going.

Q. If it happened the way you just illustrated, the tool will not drive a nail, will

23

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. No. That's why I say that's not how it

opinion, it is not possible.

Q. Have you now told me everything that you wish to say about how you think this accident happened, the reconstruction of it?

A. Well, everything I think responsive to your question. You know, I must say, you know, that I arrive at this scenario by looking at all kinds of possibilities. And this scenario -- okay? -- could also have happened by pulling it with his left hand. When the thing falls, it becomes a pendulum. Then he's trying to stop it with his right hand. So I realize, you know, that there are -- the eyewitness scenario says that he actively pulls it with his left hand. After that, their description I don't believe, because it couldn't happen that way physically due to the laws of nature. But I cannot exclude that he actually does start pulling it, tries to pull it with his left hand.

But in terms of the design of the tool, that's not relevant to me. Because whether he does it consciously or accidentally, you know, he wasn't the user of the tool. He wasn't the one who was supposed to disconnect it. And even if I were to

Page 51

happened.

Q. So he had to have, in your view, grabbed the tool by the air hose, bent his arm so that it came towards him, his elbow touches the trigger and remains on the trigger at the time the contact trip hits his sternum. Is that basically it, in your view?

A. Yes.

Q. The only way the accident could have happened is that he actually had his finger on the trigger at the time the tool discharged, right?

A. I don't understand your question.

Q. The only other way this accident could have happened -- or another way this accident could have happened is if he had his finger or his thumb on the trigger as the tool was moving towards him.

A. Yes, I agree with that, sure.

O. And the tool, the contact trip or the trip hit his chest?

A. Yes. But still, the tool would have to be in my opinion --

O. Upside-down?

A. Upside-down. Because if it says this way, the way they are describing it, you would have to 1 accept the scenario that Mr. Pinard describes, the 2 same defects and the same remedies would have 3 prevented this accident. 4

Q. Have you now told me all the facts in your reconstruction?

A. I think so.

Q. I may have a couple more questions about the tool but I don't think we need the hose anymore. Can you disconnect the hose.

A. (Witness complies.)

Q. You don't have to put it on the ground.

A. The problem is you have to use two hands to pull it off.

Q. Is this a good time for a break?

A. Sure.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:5; We are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:09 p.m. This is the beginning of Cassette Number 2 in the deposition of Dr. Igor Paul. We are on the

Q. Dr. Paul, I want to talk now about your evaluation of the design of this tool that you have

Page 53

	Page 78	age S
Q. In fact, you can do it in less the second? A. You could. Q. How long does it take to discord A. Probably the same. But why do field, they don't do it. Why do it? Q. Other than what you've talked a do you have any other criticisms of the relates to this accident? A. No. I think it is a nice tool. MR. DUGGAN: Can I have THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The VIDEOGR	a	
CERTIFICATE OF COURT R I, David A. Arsenault, Registary Professional Reporter, do certify that the deposition of IGOR PAUL, in the mate Stanley, on September 6, 2005, was start recorded by me; that the witness provides attisfactory evidence of identification, as prescribed by Executive Order 455 (03-9) the Governor of the Commonwealth of before being sworn by me, a Notary Politic the Commonwealth of Massachusetts; transcript produced by me is a true and record of the proceedings to the best of that I am neither counsel for, related to employed by any of the parties to the and further that I am not a relative or end and further tha	PORTER 1 WITNESS: IGOR PAUL 2 CASE: Beijar v Stanley 3 SIGNATURE PAGE/ERRATA SHEET 4 PAGE LINE CHANGE OR CORRECTION AND REASON 5 Ographically 8	age &

EXHIBIT 7

Igor L. Paul, Sc.D., P.E. Engineering Consultant P.OBox 178, 844 Lakeshore Drive Elkins, NH 03233-0178

Home (Iffice: Phone (603) 526-6631 (603) 452-8686 Fax

igpaul@mit.edu ignaul@adelphia.net

Home (Private): Phone (603) 526-6802 (603) 748-1292 Cell

July 30 . 2005

Mr. Scott W. Lang Lang, Xifaras & Bullard 115 Orchard Street New Bedford, MA 02740 Re: Beijar v. Stanley Fastening Systems

Via Fax: (508) 993-8696 and US Mail

Door Mr. Lang:

Based upon my review of the materials you forwarded with respect to this case, including the depositions of the plaintiff and Mr. Ponko (with exhibits), the production documents received from the defendant, my inspection and testing of the Model N79WW Bustitch nailer which was involved in Mr. Beijar's accident and an identical model nailer rented for comparison and test purposes, based upon my knowledge, inspection and testing of other manufacturers' pneumatic nailers, and based upon my education, background and experience, the following summarizes my professional opinions on the inadequate, defective and inherently dangerous design of the Bostitch Model N79WW pneumatic naiter and its involvement in Mr. Beijar's accident and injuries.

Mr. Beijer was seriously injured on February 1, 2001 on a jobsite in Oyster Harbor, MA as he was walking near or under a work platform and a Bostitch Model N79WW pneumatic mailer fell from the platform or an adjacent wall, hit him in the chest, and drove a nail into his heart. Although the pneumatic natter was not being used at the time, it was apparently still connected to the pressurized air hose. The Bostitch nailer does not have a convenient "ON/OFF" switch or lever to shut off pneumatic power to the tool when it is not being used and must be physically disconnected from the air hose to disconnect it from the compressed air source. This is inconvenient and not usually done on construction sites during breaks.

Mr. Beijar testified that as he was walking between a wall and a pile of snow, he heard somebody show "look out". As he turned to his right, he saw the naller in front of him and coming towards him with the nail driving end generally pointing down and the cap assembly and hose connection pointing up. His recollection was that he shot out his right arm to my to push the nation away, making contact with the hose some 1-1/2 to 2 feet above the hose compling. The nation

hit him in the chest and discharged a nail into his heart. Mr. Beijar testified that he did not hit the trigger with his hand because he only had time to use his right hand and that was in contact with the hose and was never near the trigger. He did not remember the exact orientation of the nation when it made contact with his band and arm, and when it hit his chest, or anything else about the nailer after it hit his chest and fell to the ground.

As described in the Bostitch Operating Manual, modern Bostitch nailers (as most other manufacturers' pneumatic nailers) including the N79WW model nailer, come with two types of actuating mechanisms for "sequential trip operation" and "contact trip operation". mechanisms use a "contact tip", which has to be compressed against a surface with a force of about 14 pounds, and a finger "trigger", which has to be pulled with a relatively small finger force, to fire a nail. Both the compression of the "contact tip" and the pulling of the "trigger" have to occur concurrently (just for an instant) for the mail to be fired. This tool actuation by two distinct and separate actions, is a safety requirement mandated by the extreme dangers posed by an unintended or accidental firing of a nail.

With a "sequential trip" dual action actuating mechanism the two distinct actions have to be performed "in sequence" to fire a nail and have to be BOTH "released" before another nail can be fired by again performing both distinct actions "in sequence". The "contact tip" has to be compressed FIRST against a surface (with a force of about 14 pounds) and that allows pulling of the "trigger" to fire the nail. After firing a nail, the "trigger" has to be released before the two sequential actions can be repeated to fire another nail. This is the safer of the two types of "trip" mechanisms as stated in the Bostitch nailer operating manuals.

The Bostitch nailer which fall on Mr. Beijar had a "contact trip" type of two step achiating mechanism (admittedly the less safe option). To fire a nail, both the "contact tip" has to be depressed and the trigger has to be pulled, but these actions can be done in either sequence and the "trigger" does not have to be released between firings. The "contact trip" actuating mechanism on the Bostitch nailer allows the two step actuating sequence to occur in either order, i.e. one can push the compression tip against the work surface (or any other surface) and then pull the trigger to fire the nail, or, one can first hold down the trigger and then hit the "contact tip" against a surface to fire the rail. This feature allows the nailer to be used in a so-called "bump-fire" mode, i.e. one can just hold down the trigger continuously (or "tie" or tape it in the pulled position) and then the nailer will fire a nail whenever the contact tip is compressed against a surface. The "contact trip" actuation mechanism allows "bump-firing" of the tool, which saves some time on a construction site because a series of nails can be driven without removing ones finger from the trigger, but it completely defeats the safety purposes of a two-step actuating sequence.

Neither of the of the two actuating mechanisms offered by Bostitch provide any kind of trigger guard or trigger lock to prevent accidental actuation of the trigger by bumping against it and neither has a power shut-off switch or lever to shut off the pacumatic power at the uniler. The "contact trip" mechanism on the actident mailer allows "tying down" the trigger, in which case only compression of the "contact tip" will fire a nail. The alternate "sequential trip" mechanism does not allow "tying down" the trigger, insuring that two distinct sequential actions have to be performed by the operator to fire each nail.

My inspection and testing of the Bostitch naîter allegedly involved in Mr. Beijar's accident and an identical model examplar naiter for comparison, showed that although the accident naiter was well used, the "contact trip" fitting mechanism operated as designed and intended over the full range of air supply pressure and the "trigger" had to be physically depressed to allow the naiter to fire a nail. A nail could be fired by holding down the trigger and impacting the "contact tip", or a nail could be fired if the trigger was even instantaneously touched or hit while the "contact tip" was Drop tests producing dynamic impacts to the "contact tip" significantly exceeding those that could have been produced when it hit Mr. Beljar's chest, showed that the nailer would not fire a nail unless the trigger was depressed when the impact occurred. This was also confirmed by an analysis of the dynamic forces produced on the pneumanc head valve (which releases the nailing piston) when the tool is impacted on the nailing tip. Accidental release from impact to the tool could only occur when impact occurs on the cap end of the nailer (i.e. if it is dropped on the cap end or the cap end of the nailer is used as a hammer).

Professional Opinions

Based upon my review of the materials and deposition testimony available to me to date, my inspection and testing of the subject nailer, and my evaluation of the nailer design, I state the following professional opinions to a reasonable degree of engineering and scientific certainty:

1- The accidental firing of the nail into Mr. Beijar's heart was caused by the unguarded "trigger" of the nailer hitting Mr. Beijar's right arm (probably near the elbow) as he was trying to deflect the falling nailer with his right hand, depressing the "trigger" and keeping it depressed as the "contact tip" compressed against his chest. When his right hand contacted the hose above the hose coupling to the nailer (with the contact tip generally pointing down as per his testimony), the nailer started pivoting around the hand-held hose section with the "contact tip" pointing towards the left side of his chest. However, to actually hit his left thest in the vicinity of the heart, portions of the nail magazine and the nailer handle grip above the magazine in the vicinity of the exposed "trigger" had to compet and interact with his raised tight arm in the vicinity of his elbow. It was this continuing contact force which compressed the "trigger" and kept it compressed, but was unable to prevent the "nailer's" continued motion towards the impact with his chest. As the "contact

tip" was depressed against his chest, the nailer essentially "bump-fired" the nail into his heart. The absence of a trigger guard allowed the accidental contact and actuation of the trigger as Mr. Beljar was trying to ward off the falling nailer, and the "contact trip" mode of the naiter firing mechanism allowed the accidental firing of the nail into his heart.

- 2. In my professional opinion the design of the Bostitch Model N79WW pneumatic nailer with the "contact trip" actuating mechanism was improper, inadequate, defective and inherently and unreasonably dangerous as follows:
 - a) In failing to provide a trigger guard or dual action "trigger" actuating mechanism on the mailer to prevent unimended and accidental contact with and actuation of the trigger while handling the nailer (during nailing operations, while connecting or disconnecting the nailer from the pneumane supply hose, while moving the nailer between nailing locations, while trying to gain course of it after a fall etc.). Trigger guards and dual-action triggers (like those found on hand-held saws, grinders and other powered handtools) have been known and used for decades to prevent accidental trigger actuation and such a trigger safety should have been provided on this Bosticch nailer. Fither a trigger guard or a dual-action trigger would have prevented this accident and injuries.
 - b) In failing to , alternatively, provide a self-actuating shut-off mechanism on the nailer to automatically lock out the power or the firing mechanism on the nailer after a period of none-use (while still connected to the pneumatic power source). Such a safety would engage either the "trigger" or one of the two pneumatic firing valves making them inoperable until they were manually released prior to use. Dual-action triggers are one type of such a safety device which actually locks out the "trigger" after each use, requiring a manual release before a nail could be fired. The pneumatic pressure of a connected nailer could also be used to provide a "delayed" automatic engagement of a trigger or pneumatic valve safety lock requiring manual release before use of the nailer. Such a safety device would have prevented Mr. Beijar's accident and injuries.
 - c) In failing to alternatively, provide a convenient manual shut-off switch, button, or lever on the nailer (with appropriate instructions on the nailer and in the operating menual) to allow the nailer to be easily "shut off" between uses and/or while handling it, and/or moving it between locations etc., without having to disconnect it from the pneumatic hose. A manual trigger

lock-out pin (which can be pushed in to lock out the trigger and pulled out to release it) or a manual valve locking pin or lever (which disconnects or locks out the pocumatic valve) are examples of simple, practical and economical ways of providing such a "shut off" function.

d) In failing to provide a "sequential trip" and/or "anti-tie down" feature on the "contact trip" achiated nailer which would not allow the trigger to be permanently "tied down" in an engaged position and would require the trigger to be released after each "bump-fired" series of driven nails, and reengaged before firing the next nail (in any firing mode "contact trip" or "hump-fired"). Because the "contact trip" actuating mechanism allows easy "tying down" of the trigger to facilitate "bump-firing" of the nailer, completely by-passing and defeating the safety function of a dual action firing mechanism, "contact trip" equipped nailers should include an "anti-tie down" and/or "sequential trip" feature to insure that two distinct and separate sequential manual actions are required each time a nail is fired, either as a single nail, or as the first nail in a series of "bump-fired nails". A "sequential trip" and/or "anti-tie down" safety feature on the actidem nailer would have prevented Mr. Beijar's accident and injuries.

In my professional opinion, Mr. Beijar was an innocent and completely helpless victim of the improper, inadequate, defective and inherently and unreasonably dangerous design of the Bostitch "contact trip" nailer. Feasible, practical, and economical state-of-the-art safety design of the nailer would have prevented this accident and injuries.

P.S.: Under separate cover I am sending you copies of the digital photographs and video taken of the Bostitch nailers during inspection and testing. The subject nailer can be picked up at my home office in NH.